# 56-Level Programmable Voltage Detector in Steps of 50mV for Battery Management

Teruki Someya<sup>1</sup>, Kenichi Matsunaga<sup>2</sup>, Hiroki Morimura<sup>2</sup>, Takayasu Sakurai<sup>1</sup>, and Makoto Takamiya<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Tokyo, Japan

<sup>2</sup> NTT Device Innovation Center, NTT Corporation, Japan

Abstract- A programmable voltage detector (PVD) for the battery management is developed for the first time. In battery management applications, PVD's with fine voltage resolution (<±1% of battery voltage) are required to precisely control the charging and discharging of the battery and to provide a universal voltage detector. The proposed fine voltage-step subtraction (FVS) method in PVD enables the wide detection voltage (VDETECT) range from 1.88V to 4.67V, fine VDETECT resolution of 50mV, and the 56level linear programmability. Compared with previous publications, the 50-mV resolution is the smallest and the 56-level programmability is the largest. The programmability of VDETECT enables a V<sub>DETECT</sub> hopping capability achieving time-varying **V**<sub>DETECT</sub> to reduce the number of voltage detectors in the battery management system. PVD fabricated in 5V, 250-nm CMOS process shows the measured power consumption of 13nW at 3.5V and the temperature coefficient of 0.17mV/°C in -20°C to 80°C.

# I. INTRODUCTION

In the applications of batteries (e.g. lithium-ion batteries), a voltage detector is one of the key building blocks in a battery management system. Fig. 1 shows the definition of the voltage detector in this paper. When the input voltage  $(V_{IN})$  is higher than a pre-determined detection voltage ( $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ ), the output voltage  $(V_{\text{OUT}})$  is low. In contrast, when  $V_{\text{IN}}$  is lower than  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ ,  $V_{\text{OUT}}$ is high. In the recent battery management system for the lithiumion batteries, both precise control of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  (e.g. ±1% (=  $\pm 42$ mV) of the battery voltage (4.2V)) and various V<sub>DETECT</sub>'s (e.g. at least 2 V<sub>DETECT</sub>'s to start charging or discharging, and typically 6 V<sub>DETECT</sub>'s [1]) are required. In the conventional voltage detectors [2,7-8], however, have 3 problems: (1)  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ is not programmable (= factory-trimmed) and users cannot change V<sub>DETECT</sub>, (2) V<sub>DETECT</sub> resolution (e.g. 100mV [2]) is larger than the target resolution of  $\pm 42$ mV, and (3) multiple voltage detectors are required for multiple  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ 's, which increases cost and area. Though a programmable voltage detector (PVD) with  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  ranging from 0.52V to 0.85V for a RF energy harvesting is reported [3], the PVD cannot be used for the lithium-ion batteries, because the  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  range does not fit the voltage range (e.g. 3.0V to 4.2V) of the lithium-ion batteries.

Therefore, in this paper, a new PVD with a programmable 56-level  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  ranging from 1.88V to 4.67V in steps of 50mV is proposed for the battery management system. The 50-mV step (= ±25mV) programmability satisfies the target resolution of ±42mV for the lithium-ion batteries. Compared with previous publications, the 50-mV step is the smallest and the 56-level programmability is the largest. Thanks to the programmability, the proposed PVD can be a general-purpose component and users can freely change  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ . The programmability of



Fig. 1. Definition of voltage detector for battery management.



Fig. 2. Circuit schematic of conventional programmable voltage detector (PVD) [3]. MVD is multiple voltage duplicator.

 $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  also enables a  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  hopping capability achieving time-varying  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ , thereby reducing the number of voltage detectors.

In this paper, to achieve PVD with wide  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  range, fine  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  steps, and linear  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  programmability, a fine voltage-step subtraction (FVS) method is proposed. In PVD, a low power operation is also required in battery-operated mobile devices and IoT nodes, because PVD always operates to monitor the battery. In the conventional voltage detectors, however, the power consumption is dominated by the bandgap reference circuits (32nW [4] to 100nW [5]). Though a low power voltage reference (VR) circuit is reported [6], PVD with the VR circuit shows a non-linear  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  programmability, because the line sensitivity of the VR is large (= 2.3mV/V). To solve the problem, a  $V_{\text{DD}}$  regulated voltage reference (VRVR) circuit with low power (2nW) and low line sensitivity of 50 $\mu$ V/V is proposed.



Fig. 4. Simulated *m* dependence of TC of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  in conventional PVD.

## II. CONVENTIONAL PROGRAMMABLE VOLTAGE DETECTORS

It is shown that a conventional PVD [3] has 2 problems: (1) linear  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  programmability is not available, and (2) both fine  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  steps and low temperature coefficient (TC) of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  are not achieved. Fig. 2 shows a circuit schematic of the conventional PVD [3], where a multiple voltage duplicator (MVD) is a multiple-input multiple-output voltage buffer. Fig. 3 shows an operation principle of the conventional PVD. The offset of  $V_{\text{REF1}}$  is varied by *m* and the gradient of  $V_{\text{IN1}}$  is varied by *n*. Points at the intersection of  $V_{\text{REF1}}$ 's with  $V_{\text{IN1}}$ 's are VDETECT's. As shown in Fig. 3, VDETECT changes non-linearly and non-monotonously with control-bits. A straightforward method to obtain both linear  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  programmability and fine  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ steps is to change  $V_{\text{REF1}}$  at fixed  $V_{\text{IN1}}$  with increasing m. Fig. 4, however, shows a simulated m dependence of TC of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  in the conventional PVD at fixed  $V_{IN1}$ ,  $V_{REF} = 0.5V$ , and  $I_1 = 200pA$ . With increasing m, TC also increases and exceeds the design target of  $0.2 \text{mV/}^{\circ}\text{C}$  (= 20 mV across 100 °C). When *m* is increased, the voltage drop per resistor is reduced and the drainsource voltage  $(V_{DS})$  of MOSFET's in MVD is reduced. The reduced  $V_{\rm DS}$  degrades the capability of the multiple voltage duplication in MVD and increases TC. Therefore, another method is required to achieve both fine  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  steps and low TC of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ .

## III. PROPOSED PROGRAMMABLE VOLTAGE DETECTORS

#### A. Fine Voltage-Step Subtraction (FVS) Method

Fig. 5 shows a circuit schematic of a proposed PVD with FVS method. The circuit implementation of resistors for voltage divider, MVD, MUX's, and a comparator is similar to [3]. Instead of increasing m in the voltage divider in Fig. 2, a



Fig. 5. Circuit schematic of proposed PVD with fine voltage-step subtraction (FVS) method.



Fig. 6. Operation principle of proposed PVD with FVS.

hierarchy of a coarse voltage divider for  $V_{\text{REF1}}$  and a fine voltage divider for  $V_{\text{IN2}}$  is used in Fig. 5. Fig. 6 shows an operation principle of FVS.  $\Delta V_{\text{REF}}$  is a coarse voltage step and  $\Delta V_{\text{REF}}/n$  is a fine voltage step. In FVS, the fine  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  steps are achieved by comparing the coarsely varied  $V_{\text{REF1}}$  and finely varied  $V_{\text{IN2}}$ , where  $V_{\text{IN2}}$  is obtained by subtracting the fine voltage steps of  $\Delta V_{\text{REF}}/n$  from  $V_{\text{IN1}}$ . The linear  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  programmability is achieved, because the fine voltage step is generated from the coarse voltage step. An equation for  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  is derived below.

$$\Delta V_{\text{REF}} = \frac{V_{\text{REF}}}{m} \tag{1}$$

$$V_{\text{REF1}} = \frac{i}{m} V_{\text{REF}}$$
 (*i* = 1, 2, ..., *m*-1) (2)

$$V_{\rm IN1} = \frac{V_{\rm IN}}{k} \qquad (k > 1) \tag{3}$$

$$V_{\rm IN2} = V_{\rm IN1} - \frac{j}{n} \Delta V_{\rm REF}$$
  $(j = 0, 1, ..., n-1)$  (4)

Substituting (1) into (4),

1

$$V_{\rm IN2} = V_{\rm IN1} - \frac{j}{mn} V_{\rm REF}$$
(5)

 $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  is  $V_{\text{IN}}$  when

$$V_{\rm REF1} = V_{\rm IN2} \tag{6}$$

Substituting (2) and (5) into (6),



Fig. 8. (a) Conventional voltage reference (VR) based on [6]. (b) Proposed  $V_{\text{DD}}$  regulated voltage reference (VRVR).

$$\frac{i}{m}V_{\rm REF} = V_{\rm IN1} - \frac{j}{mn}V_{\rm REF}$$
(7)

$$V_{\rm IN1} = \left(\frac{\iota}{m} + \frac{J}{mn}\right) V_{\rm REF} \tag{8}$$

Substituting (3) into (8),

$$V_{\text{DETECT}} = k \left(\frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{mn}\right) V_{\text{REF}}$$
(9)

As shown in (9), by adding coarse voltage steps of  $V_{\text{REF}}/m$  and fine voltage steps of  $V_{\text{REF}}/mn$ , both linear  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ programmability and fine  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  steps are achieved. Thus, in the proposed PVD with FVS, wide  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  range, fine  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ steps, linear  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  programmability, and TC of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  less than  $0.2\text{mV}/^{\circ}\text{C}$  are achieved, because *m* and *n* are 8 in our implementation.

In FVS, low power voltage subtractors in Fig. 5 are one of the key building blocks. Fig. 7 shows a circuit schematic of the proposed voltage subtractor and its operation principle. M1 and M2 are in subthreshold operation, and  $I_3 = 0$ , because the input impedance of MUX is high. As shown in Fig. 7,  $V_{OUT} = V_{IN1} - V_j$ , and the voltage subtraction is achieved. The proposed voltage subtractor is low power (1.4nA at 3.5V) because of the subthreshold operation. To reduce the power consumption of the multiple voltage subtractors in PVD, a power gating switch is added as shown in Fig. 7 and non-selected voltage subtractors are turned off.

# B. V<sub>DD</sub> Regulated Voltage Reference (VRVR)

VRVR with low power (2nW) and low line sensitivity of  $50\mu$ V/V is proposed. Figs. 8 (a) and (b) show circuit schematics





of a conventional 2-transistor VR circuit based on [6] and the proposed VRVR, respectively. In Fig. 8 (a),  $V_{\text{REF}}$  is determined by the threshold voltage difference between M1 and M2. In Fig. 8 (b), power supply voltage  $(V_{DD})$  regulator is added to Fig. 8 (a) to improve the line sensitivity (=  $\Delta V_{\text{REF}} / \Delta V_{\text{DD}}$ ). The power overhead due to the regulator over Fig. 8 (a) is 10%. When all transistors are in subthreshold operation,  $V_1 = V_2 \approx 2V_{\text{REF}}$ , which shows  $V_2$  is regulated. Specifically, when  $\Delta V_1 / \Delta V_{DD}$  is 1/a,  $\Delta V_{\text{REF}} / \Delta V_2$  is also 1/*a*, and  $\Delta V_{\text{REF}} / \Delta V_{\text{DD}}$  is 1/*a*<sup>2</sup>. Fig. 9 shows a simulated  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  dependence on digital code of PVD in the conventional VR and the proposed VRVR. In the conventional VR, a non-linear  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  programmability is observed, while linear V<sub>DETECT</sub> programmability is achieved in VRVR. To clarify the reason for the non-linearity, Fig. 10 shows a simulated  $V_{DD}$ dependence of  $V_{\text{REF}}$  in the conventional VR and the proposed VRVR. In the conventional VR, the line sensitivity is large (=2.3 mV/V), which results in the non-linearity of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  in PVD. In contrast, the line sensitivity of VRVR is  $50\mu$ V/V, which is 1/46 of that of the conventional VR, thereby achieving the linear V<sub>DETECT</sub> programmability.

#### IV. MEASURED RESULTS

Fig. 11 shows a die photo and a layout of the proposed PVD fabricated in 5V, 250-nm CMOS process. The core area is 230 $\mu$ m by 180 $\mu$ m. The measured power consumption is 13nW at 3.5V. Fig. 12 shows a measured  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  dependence on digital code of PVD. PVD with linear 56-level  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  ranging from 1.88V to 4.67V in steps of 50mV is achieved. Fig. 13 shows a measured temperature dependence of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ . TC is



Fig. 12. Measured V<sub>DETECT</sub> dependence on digital code of PVD.

0.17mV/°C in -20°C to 80°C, achieving the design target of 0.2mV/°C. The programmability of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  enables a  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  hopping capability achieving time-varying  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ , thereby reducing the number of voltage detectors. Fig. 14 shows measured waveforms of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  hopping, where  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  is varied between  $V_{\text{DETECT}(\text{MAX})}$  and  $V_{\text{DETECT}(\text{MIN})}$ , and  $V_{\text{IN}}$  is fixed to 3.3V. The proposed  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  hopping is successfully demonstrated. The hopping delay is 3.2ms and 0.16ms for rise and fall edge of  $V_{\text{OUT}}$ , respectively, which is sufficiently fast for the battery management. In Table I, this work is compared with the previously published voltage detectors. This work is first PVD covering the  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  range for the lithium-ion batteries. The 56-level programmability is the largest and the 50-mV step is the smallest.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

Thanks to the proposed FVS and VRVR, PVD with linear 56-level  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$  ranging from 1.88V to 4.67V, covering the range for the lithium-ion batteries, in steps of 50mV (=±25mV) (<±1% of battery voltage) is achieved for the first time. Thanks to the programmability, the proposed PVD can be a generalpurpose component and users can freely change  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ . The 56-level programmability is the largest and the 50-mV step is the smallest. PVD fabricated in 5V, 250-nm CMOS process shows the measured power consumption of 13nW at 3.5V and TC of 0.17mV/°C in -20°C to 80°C.

#### References

- [1] Datasheet of BQ25504, Texas Instruments.
- [2] Datasheet of AP4400A, Asahi Kasei Microdevices Corporation.
- [3] T. Someya, H. Fuketa, K. Matsunaga, H. Morimura, T. Sakurai, and M. Takamiya, "Voltage detector with multiple voltage duplicator for energy



Fig. 13. Measured temperature dependence of  $V_{\text{DETECT}}$ .



Fig. 14. Measured waveforms of V<sub>DETECT</sub> hopping.

TABLE I COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS VOLTAGE DETECTORS

		[7]	[2]	[8]	[3]	Thie work
		TPS3839	AP4400A	VLSI'12	ESSCIRC'15	THIS WORK
CMOS process		N/A	N/A	180nm	250nm	250nm
Detection voltage	Programm ability	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
	Max	4.38V	4.2V	3.58V	0.85V	4.67V
	Min	0.9V	2.0V	(Fixed)	0.52V	1.88V
	Range	3.48V	2.2V		0.33V	2.79V
	Number of Steps	9	23	1	21	56
	Uniform step	No	Yes (100mV step)		No	Yes (50mV step)
Power (25°C)		180nW	68nW	286pW	248pW	13nW
		@1.2V	@3.4V	@3.6V	@1.0V	@3.5V
Temperature coefficient of VDETECT		0.055mV/ºC	0.75mV/ºC	1.5mV/ºC	0.11mV/ºC	0.17mV/ºC
Temperature range		-40°C to 85°C	-15°C to 85°C	0°C to 80°C	-20°C to 80°C	-20°C to 80°C

harvesting," European Solid-State Circuits Conference, pp. 249-252, Sep., 2015.

- [4] A. Shrivastava, K. Craig, N. E. Roberts, D. D. Wentzloff, and B. H. Calhoun, "A 32nW bandgap reference voltage operational from 0.5V supply for ultra-low power systems," IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference, pp. 94-95, Feb. 2015.
- [5] Y. Osaki, T. Hirose, N. Kuroki, and M. Numa, "1.2-V supply, 100-nW, 1.09-V bandgap and 0.7-V supply, 52.5-nW, 0.55-V subbandgap reference circuits for nanowatt CMOS LSIs," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1530–1538, June 2013.
- [6] M. Seok, G. Kim, D. Sylvester, and D. Blaauw, "A 0.5V 2.2pW 2transistor voltage reference," IEEE Custom Integtated Circuits Conference, pp. 577-580, Sep. 2009.
- [7] Datasheet of TPS3839, Texas Instruments.
- [8] I. Lee, S. Bang, Y. Lee, Y. Kim, G. Kim, D. Sylvester, and D. Blaauw, "A 635pW battery voltage supervisory circuit for miniature sensor nodes," IEEE Symposium on VLSI Cicuits, pp. 202-203, June 2012.