A 6.78 MHz Wireless Power Transfer System for Simultaneous Charging of Multiple Receivers with Maximum Efficiency using Adaptive Magnetic Field Distributor IC

Hao Qiu^{1,2}, Makoto Takamiya¹

¹The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; ²Now with Nanjing University, Nanjing, China. Email: qiuhaonju@gmail.com

Abstract

We developed a 6.78 MHz wireless power transfer (WPT) system for simultaneous charging of multiple receiver (RX) coils. On the basis of the transmitter (TX)-RX and RX-RX coupling distinguished by the adaptive magnetic field distributor (AMFD) IC, the distribution of magnetic fields from the TX coils was optimized at each RX coil for the maximum efficiency. A 2-TX 2-RX WPT system was implemented with the AMFD ICs fabricated in 1.8 V, 180 nm CMOS process. Compared with the conventional method, the system efficiency is increased from 8.9 % to 61 % with the load power of 173 mW.

Introduction

With an explosive increase of users, as shown in Fig. 1, a WPT system that supports simultaneous wireless charging of multiple RXs with maximum efficiency is highly desirable. The conventional method like turning on a single TX coil, as shown in Fig. 2(a), cannot effectively transfer power wirelessly to the RX coils when the coupling between TX coil 2 and the RX coils is weak. Using multiple TX coils without control, as shown in Fig. 2(b), is not a good method either since the magnetic fields generated by the TX coils can cancel out at the RX coils. The worst case is no power can be transferred to the RX coils.

On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 2(c), by controlling the current in each TX coil on the basis of the TX-RX and RX-RX coupling, the distribution of magnetic fields from the TX coils can be optimized at different RX coils to achieve the maximum efficiency. Several previous works on a multiple TX WPT system have been reported [1-3]. However, all these works only support wireless charging of a single RX coil. When the number of the RX coils is greater than 1, taking [3] as an example, since they are coupled with the TX coils at any point in time, the integrated k sensor cannot distinguish between them. Moreover, the RX-RX coupling cannot be known either. As a result, the optimized current in each TX coil cannot be correctly computed for the optimized distribution of magnetic fields at different RX coils.

In this work, by distinguishing between the coupling coefficients (*k*s) between each pair of coils (TX-RX and RX-RX) using the AMFD IC, we developed a WPT system for simultaneous charging of 2 RX coils with the maximum efficiency.

Adaptive Magnetic Field Distributor IC

Fig. 3 shows a block diagram of the WPT system, consisting of 2 TX coils driven by 2 AMFD ICs (TX1 and TX2), 2 RX coils, and a top controller. According to the theoretical analysis in [4], the TX-RX and RX-RX coupling can be calculated by tentatively turning on TX coils and measuring the current and voltage of each TX coil. To achieve this without using current and voltage probes which are bulky and costly, a current and voltage sensor is integrated in each AMFD IC, which also consists of two half-bridges (HBs) and related digital control. AMFD ICs TX1 and TX2 firstly measure the currents and voltages in TX coils 1 (I_1 , V_1) and 2 (I_2 , V_2) and output the results to the top controller for the calculation of the TX-RX and RX-RX coupling. After that, the AMFD ICs drive HBs [3] to adjust the current in each TX coil to its optimal value for the maximum system efficiency.

For the coil current sensing, we obtain it by differentiating the voltage across the capacitor in the coil, considering that the sensing resistor may introduce power loss and the transformer cannot be integrated. However, the current sensing accuracy can be degraded due to the process variation of the RC time constant

in the operational amplifier (op-amp) differentiator. To solve this problem, a calibration technique is proposed, as shown in Fig. 4(a).

During the calibration, the RX coils are absent. Take TX coil 1 as an example. Since it is resonated at the resonance frequency (f_0) , the amplitude of voltage across the inductor L_1 (V_L) equals that across the capacitor C_1 (V_C). These high-level voltages are attenuated and result in V_{L1} and V_{C1} . V_{L2} is obtained by integrating V_{L1} and V_{C2} is obtained by differentiating V_{C1} over time. As V_{L2} and V_{C2} are AC voltages, their peak values are stored as V_{L3} and V_{C3} by the sample-and-hold (S&H) circuits at proper instants. The corresponding equations are listed in Fig. 4(b). The calibration is executed and will end up with V_{L3} equal to V_{C3} , as shown in Fig. 4(c). According to the comparison results, the 5-bit R is controlled by the logic circuits to calibrate the RC time constants of both opamp integrator and differentiator. After calibration, R remains unchanged and the RC time constant equals $1/(2\pi f_0)$, and the coil current (I_1) can be accurately obtained with the peak value as AMP I, since L_1 , C_1 , and the attenuation ratio (β) have been known. The calibration procedure guarantees a correct current measurement for the calculation of the TX-RX and RX-RX coupling and the optimized current in the top controller.

The coil voltage (V_1) passes the attenuator, and its peak value is obtained as AMP_V by the S&H circuit. The phase between V_1 and I_1 is obtained as PHASE by two zero-crossing detectors followed by an XOR gate and a low pass filter (LPF).

Experimental Results

Fig. 5 shows a die photograph of AMFD IC fabricated in 1.8 V, 180 nm CMOS. The die size is 1.90 mm x 1.83 mm. All TX and RX coils are 10-turn flexible PCB coils with the outer diameter of 50 mm. The load resistances (R_{LS}) are 10 Ω . f_0 is 6.78 MHz. Fig. 6 shows the measured waveforms during the calibration of the coil current sensor. β is 0.01. EN is the calibration enabled signal and is generated off-chip. STATE indicates the state of calibration and goes to LOW when the calibration ends. The system enters normal operation after calibration.

Figs. 7(a), 8(a), and 9(a) show the measurement setups, in which the RX coils are perpendicular to the TX coils. 3 cases are listed, in which RX coil 1 is perpendicular to (case 1) or parallel with (cases 2 and 3) RX coil 2. On the basis of the measurement results of the AMFD ICs, the top controller calculates the *k*s as shown in Figs. 7(b), 8(b), and 9(b) and the optimized current for each TX coil. The optimized currents in TX coils 1 and 2 are measured and shown in Figs. 7(c), 8(c), and 9(d), and the measured load power is shown in Figs. 7(d), 8(d), and 9(d), and the measured system efficiency (η_{SYS}) is shown in Figs. 7(e), 8(e), and 9(e).

Compared with all three conventional methods (TX coil 1 on, TX coil 2 on, and TX coils 1 and 2 on without control), our proposed method shows great performance improvement. In case 1, η_{SYS} is increased from 8.9 % to 61 % with the load power of 173 mW. Similarly, η_{SYS} is increased from 3.9 % to 19 % with the load power of 68 mW in case 2 and increased from 2.6 % to 47 % with the load power of 183 mW in case 3. Relatively high performance can be obtained by selectively turning on TX coil 1 or 2. However, this requires that the status of R_{LS} be transmitted to the TX side and will make the system complex. On the other hand, no TX-RX communication link is required in this work. Table I shows a comparison table summarizing related works on multiple TX WPT systems. This work achieves simultaneous charging of multiple RX coils with maximum efficiency.

Acknowledgement

RX coil 1 RX coil 2

6

Y

TX coil 1 TX coil 2

3

<u>©</u>¢

(a) Single TX on

H₂



RX coil 1 RX coil 2

6

V

 \bigcirc

TX coil 1 TX coil 2

(b) TX coils 1 and 2 on

w/o control

Ô

Multiple RXs

of multiple RXs.

RX coil 1 RX coil 2

6 6 VV

3 High efficiency

G

TX coil 1 TX coil 2

IEEE Trans. Power Elec., pp. 11391-11400, 2020. [3] H. Qiu et al., VLSI Circ., 2020, pp. 1-2. [4] G. Yang et al., IEEE Trans. Signal Process., pp. 2860-2874, 2017. 🙁 Low efficiency ☺☺ Efficiency can be 0%



field distributor (AMFD): TX coils 1 and 2 on w/ control Fig. 3. Block diagram of the proposed WPT system. Fig. 2. (a)-(b) Conventional and (c) proposed WPT method. Attenuator Coil Current Sensor with Proposed Calibration Technique $-2\pi f_{0} = (L_{1}C_{1})^{-1/2}$ S & H Integrato CLK2 $V_{L} = I_{1} 2\pi f_{0} L_{1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad V_{L1} = V_{L} \beta \Rightarrow \quad V_{L2} = V_{L1} \frac{1}{\mathcal{R} C 2\pi f_{0}} \Rightarrow \quad V_{L3}$ ł CLK1 LPF $= I_1 \frac{1}{2\pi f_0 C_1} \Rightarrow V_{c_1} = V_c \beta \Rightarrow V_{c_2} = V_{c_2} R^2 C 2\pi f_0 \Rightarrow V_{c_3}$ V_{L1} V_L (L₁) \int The calibration ends up with $V_{L3} = V_{C3}$ CLK3 СĻК4 generator + CLK1 Time constant: $RC = \frac{1}{2\pi f_0}$; Coil current: $I_1 = \frac{1}{\beta} \sqrt{\frac{C_1}{L_1}} V_{c2}$ Logic circuits → CLK2 Attenuator LPF STATE → CLK3 Differentiato Î (b) CLK → CLK4 R EN $V_c (c_1)$ ΕŇ 7 TX coil 1 S & H AMP V_{C1} Attenuator LPF Vc V_{C3} Attenuation ratio: B 0 Time Fig. 4. (a) Block diagram of V_{c2} S&H → AMP_V current and voltage sensor with V_{C3} <u>†</u> † proposed the calibration Zero-crossing detector CLK generator I - LPF → PHASE technique. (b) Corresponding Zero-crossing detector equations. (c) Operation principle. Time (c) (a) Fig. 7 (Case 1) Fig. 8 (Case 2) Fig. 9 (Case 3) Start End Normal Calibration of coil operation RX coil 1 RX coil 1 RX coil 2 RX coil 1 RX coil 2 RX coil 2 current sensing **Digital** control TX coil 2 TX coil 1 Half TX coil 1 TX coil TX coil 1 TX coil 2 1 (a) (a) (a) V_{L3} V_{C3} 8. RX coil 1 RX coil 2 RX coil 1 RX coil 2 RX coil 1 RX coil 2 k k k TX coil 1 1.18 × 10⁻² ~ 0 TX coil 1 · 0 1.02 × 10⁻¹ TX coil 1 ~ 0 8.88 × 10⁻² STATE TX coil 2 1.78 × 10⁻² 5.23 × 10⁻² TX coil 2 1.85 × 10⁻² 3.70 × 10⁻² TX coil 2 8.92 × 10⁻² 1.80 × 10⁻² FN 5μs RX coil 1 2.43 × 10⁻² RX coil 1 3.45×10^{-2} RX coil 1 1.31×10^{-1} ***** (b) (b) (b) 1.90 mm Optimized Optimized Optimized TX coil 1 TX coil 2 TX coil 1 TX coil 2 TX coil 1 TX coil 2 Fig. 5. Die micrograph. current current current |/| (mA) 50 247 |/| (mA) 340 150 |/| (mA) 210 190 100 ns 200 mV‡ 100 ns 200 mV‡ ∠I (°) 0 0 ∠I (°) 0 177 ∠I (°) 0 200 (c) (c) (c) Before calibration After calibration RX coil 1 RX coil 2 RX coil 1 200 (Mm (Mm) RX coil 2 RX coil 2 Fig. 6. Measured waveforms during calibration. 150 150 100¹⁰⁰ 190 Tanod Jano 40 Table I. Comparison with Prior Art. -oad 50 pg 20 Load 50 14 mW 12 mW [1] [2] [3] This work 'n₩ 10 180 nm CMOS Implementation Discrete Discrete 180 nm CMOS (2) (3) entional (4) (2) (3) rentional (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) Conventional Proposed (1) Co (1) Con Pr Pr Number ΤХ 2 x 1 3 x 1 2 x 2 2 x 1 (d) (d) 2 1cy (%) (%) (%) 19 % efficiency (00 Yes

(d)	of coils	RX	1	1	1
20 (1) (2) (3) (4) (1) (2) (3) (4) (3) (4) (4) (2) (3) (4) (6) (4) (5) (4) (5) (4) (6) (5) (5) (4) (5) (5) (4) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5	Charging of multiple RXs with maximum efficiency		No	No	No
	Frequency		13.56 MHz	100 kHz	6.78 MHz
	Supply voltage		10 V	N/A	1.8 V
	Current sensing in each TX coil		N/A	N/A	N/A
	TX ⊥ RX	Load power	N/A	N/A	458 mW
		WPT efficiency	N/A	N/A	48 %
ll current, (d) load power,		Distance*/TX size	N/A	N/A	1/2

(a) Setup. (b) Calculated k. Measured (c) optimized coil cur and (e) system efficiency. Conventional (1) TX coil 1 on, (2) TX coil 2 on, (3) * Distance between the center of the RX coil and TX coils. Both TX coils on w/o control. Proposed: Both TX coils on w/ control.

(1) (2) (3) Conventional

(e)

3.3 %

(4)

(4)

Prop

Systen

efficie ⁵

System e

(1) (2) (3) Conventional

(e)

6.78 MHz 1.8 V

Integrated current

technique

183 mW

61 %

1/2

nsor with calibration