Large Current Output Digital Gate Driver Using Half-Bridge Digital-to-Analog Converter IC and Two Power MOSFETs

Kohei Horii¹, Katsuhiro Hata¹, Ruizhi Wang¹, Wataru Saito², and Makoto Takamiya¹ ¹ The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan ² Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

Abstract—An 8-bit digital gate driver (DGD) using a halfbridge digital-to-analog converter (HB DAC) IC and two power MOSFETs is proposed to enable the output voltage swing of \pm 15 V and the large gate current up to 58 A for a 6500 V, 1000 A IGBT module. In the turn-on measurements of IGBT at 3000 V and 1000 A, compared with the conventional single-step gate driving, the proposed active gate driving using DGD reduces the switching loss from 6.9 J to 4.8 J by 30 % at the same current overshoot of 1.3 kA and reduces the current overshoot from 1560 A to 1330 A by 15 % at the same switching loss of 5 J, which clearly shows the advantage of DGD for the 6500 V, 1000 A IGBT module. This paper is the first to demonstrate the advantages of DGD in the high-voltage, large-current IGBT modules.

Keywords—gate driver, IGBT, switching loss, high voltage

I. INTRODUCTION

High-voltage, large-current IGBT modules (e.g. ratings of 6500 V, 1000 A) are used in many social infrastructure fields including high-voltage DC transmission systems and train traction systems [1]. In addition to improving IGBTs themselves, gate driving technologies can be used to reduce the loss of IGBTs. Recently, many papers have been published on the simultaneous reduction of both switching loss (E_{LOSS}) and switching noise by active gate waveform control using digital gate drivers (DGDs) [2-8]. Conventional DGDs, however, are difficult to apply to the 6500 V, 1000 A IGBT modules, because the modules require DGD with (1) the output voltage swing (V_{SWING}) of ± 15 V to prevent a false turn-on and (2) the gate current (I_G) of up to around 20 A because of the large gate capacitance. For example, V_{SWING} is 3.3 V [3], 5 V [4-5, 7], 15 V [2], 18 V [6] and 20 V [8], and the maximum $I_{\rm G}$ is between 5 A [2, 4] and 42 A [5].

To solve the problems, in this paper, an 8-bit DGD using a half-bridge digital-to-analog converter (HB DAC) IC and two power MOSFETs is proposed to enable V_{SWING} of ± 15 V and large I_{G} up to 58 A for the 6500 V, 1000 A IGBT modules. 30 % reduction of E_{LOSS} and 15 % reduction of the current overshoot ($I_{\text{OVERSHOOT}}$) compared with the conventional single-step gate driving in the turn-on of the 6500 V, 1000 A IGBT module at 3000 V and 1000 A are experimentally shown. This paper is the first to demonstrate the advantages of DGD in the high-voltage, large-current IGBT modules.

II. DESIGN OF DIGITAL GATE DRIVER USING HB DAC IC AND TWO POWER MOSFETS

Figs. 1 to 3 show a circuit schematic of the proposed DGD including HB DAC IC and two power MOSFETs (Q1 and Q2 : BSC094N06LS5, 60 V, 47 A), a block diagram of the proposed HB DAC IC, and a timing chart of DGD, respectively. DGD is a current-source gate driver. The novelty of this work is that power MOSFETs are used as the output stage of the gate driver to achieve large I_{G} , and DGD operation is achieved by digitally controlling the gate amplitude (V_{GSH} and V_{GSL}) of the power MOSFETs operating in the saturation region instead of the linear region using the proposed HB DAC IC to achieve the currentsource gate driver. As shown in Fig. 2, HB DAC IC includes two DACs operating with different power supply rails, shift registers for serial inputs to reduce the number of input pins, and an edge detector to generate pulse signals from externally supplied "Timing" signal. HB DAC IC does not include the driver transistors. If all the functions are integrated into a single IC, the chip size will be huge and the cost will be high. By controlling the gate voltage of Q_1 (V_{GSH}) with a 16-bit input DAC (Fig. 2), $I_{\rm G}$ can be digitally varied four times at turn-on (Fig. 3). The four periods from t_1 to t_4 are determined by "Timing" signal, and t_1 to t_4 can be changed independently. The same is true for turnoff.



Fig. 1. Circuit schematic of proposed digital gate driver (DGD) including HB DAC IC and two power MOSFETs.



Fig. 2. Block diagram of proposed half-bridge digital-to-analog converter (HB DAC) IC.



Fig. 4. 16-bit input DAC for Q1. (a) Circuit schematic. (b) Equivalent circuit.

Figs. 4 (a) and (b) show a circuit schematic and an equivalent circuit of the 16-bit input DAC for Q₁, respectively. This DAC has a similar circuit configuration to DGD with binary weighted gate widths (W_P , $2W_P$, $4W_P$, $8W_P$, $16W_P$, $32W_P$, $64W_P$, $128W_P$) in the output stage [4], however, the method of operation is different. V_{GSH} can be digitally controlled by H_n_{PMOS} [7:0] and H_n_{NMOS} [7:0] on the principle of a shunt regulator, where some of the eight pMOSFETs and some of the eight nMOSFETs in Fig. 4 (a) are turned on. This DAC has 16-bit inputs, however, for simplicity, 8-bit digital signals H_n_{NMOS} [7:0] are fixed and 8-bit digital signals H_n_{PMOS} [7:0] are varied in this paper. V_{GSH} can be varied in 256 levels depending on H_n_{PMOS} [7:0], which is defined as H_n_{PMOS} , where H_n_{PMOS} is an integer between 0 and 255.

Fig. 5 shows a die micrograph of HB DAC IC fabricated with 180-nm BCD process. The die size is 2.5 mm by 1.0 mm. Fig. 6 shows a photo of PCB of DGD. HB DAC IC is mounted on the surface of the PCB, and Q_1 and Q_2 are mounted on the back of the PCB.



Fig. 7. Timing charts for turn-on measurement. (a) Conventional single-step gate driving (SGD). (b) Proposed active gate driving (AGD).

III. MEASURED RESULTS

In this paper, only $I_{\text{OVERSHOOT}}$ at turn-on discussed and the collector-emitter voltage (V_{CE}) overshoot at turn-off is not discussed, because $I_{\text{OVERSHOOT}}$ is large, while the voltage overshoot is small, being less than 500 V.

Figs. 7 (a) and (b) show timing charts for the turn-on measurement of the conventional single-step gate driving (SGD) and the proposed active gate driving (AGD), respectively. AGD is based on the stop-and-go gate driving [9]. In AGD, only two periods (t_1 and t_2) out of four periods (t_1 to t_4) in Fig. 3 are used for simplicity. *n* is varied in SGD and n_1 is varied in AGD, where *n* and n_1 are integers between 0 and 255. *m* is common to SGD and AGD, where *m* is 60 or 100 in this paper.

Fig. 8 shows the measured *n* dependence V_{GSH} in SGD to demonstrate the successful operation of 8-bit DAC at $V_{\text{DD3}} =$ $V_{\text{DD4}} = 3.5$ V and 4 V and m = 60 and 100. V_{GSH} is monotonically increasing with *n*, although DAC is nonlinear. The maximum output current of DAC is 100 mA. Fig. 9 shows the measured *n* dependence I_{G} in SGD to demonstrate the successful operation of 8-bit DGD at $V_{\text{DD3}} = V_{\text{DD4}} = 3.5$ V and 4 V and m = 60 and 100. To investigate the performance of DGD itself, a 100 µF capacitor is connected to the output of DGD and I_{G} is measured.



Fig. 8. Measured *n* dependence V_{GSH} in SGD to demonstrate operation of 8-bit DAC.



Fig. 9. Measured n dependence $I_{\rm G}$ in SGD to demonstrate operation of 8-bit DGD.

 $I_{\rm G}$ is monotonically increasing with *n*, although it is nonlinear. The maximum $I_{\rm G}$ is 58 A.

Figs. 10 and 11 show a circuit schematic and a measurement setup of the double pulse test using DGD and two IGBT modules (Q₃ and Q₄ : CM1000HG-130XA, 6500 V, 1000 A) at 3000 V and 1000 A, respectively. Fig. 12 shows the measured E_{LOSS} vs. $I_{OVERSHOOT}$ at $V_{DD3} = V_{DD4} = 3.5$ V and m = 100. The black line shows the trade-off curve of the conventional SGD (Fig. 7 (a)) with varied *n* from 175 to 255. The red star shows the proposed AGD (Fig. 7 (b)) at $n_1 = 223$. Compared with SGD, the proposed AGD reduces E_{LOSS} from 6.9 J to 4.8 J by 30 % at the same $I_{OVERSHOOT}$ of 1.3 kA and reduces $I_{OVERSHOOT}$ from 1560 A to 1330 A by 15 % at the same E_{LOSS} of 5 J, which clearly shows the advantage of DGD for the 6500 V, 1000 A IGBT module.



Fig. 10. Circuit schematic of double pulse test.



Fig. 11. Measurement setup of double pulse test.

Fig. 13 shows corresponding measured waveforms in Fig. 12. Fig. 13 (a) shows the measured waveforms of SGD at n = 175 with the smallest I_G , achieving the smallest $I_{OVERSHOOT}$ and the largest E_{LOSS} . In contrast, Fig. 13 (b) shows the measured waveforms of SGD at n = 255 with the largest I_G , achieving the smallest E_{LOSS} and the largest $I_{OVERSHOOT}$. The maximum measured I_G is 14 A. Fig. 13 (d) shows the measured waveforms of SGD with the same $I_{OVERSHOOT}$ and E_{LOSS} as AGD, respectively. In Fig. 13 (d), AGD achieves low E_{LOSS} and $I_{OVERSHOOT}$ by setting I_G to zero just before the timing of $I_{OVERSHOOT}$. In Fig. 13 (d), the maximum measured I_G is 10 A.

Table I shows a comparison table of DGDs. The proposed DGD using HB DAC IC and two power MOSFETs achieves the largest V_{SWING} of 30 V and the largest I_{G} of 58 A in DGDs. This paper is the first to demonstrate the advantages of DGD in the high-voltage, large-current IGBT modules.



Fig. 12. Measured ELOSS vs. IOVERSHOOT of conventional SGD and proposed AGD.



Fig. 13. Measured waveforms in Fig. 12. (a) Conventional SGD at n = 175. (b) Conventional SGD at n = 255. (c) Conventional SGD at n = 177. (d) Proposed AGD. (e) Conventional SGD at n = 180.

	TIA'17 [2]	ISPSD'20 [3]	ISPSD'21 [4]	TPEL'21 [5]	This work
Target power device	Si IGBT & SiC MOSFET	GaN FET	GaN FET	GaN FET	Si IGBT
Process	180 nm BCD	180 nm BCD	180 nm BCD	180 nm HV CMOS	180 nm BCD
Chip area	6.25 mm ²	1.97 mm ²	4.32 mm ²	5.0 mm ²	2.5 mm ²
Output voltage swing	15 V	3.3 V	5 V	5 V	30 V
Levels of I _G	6 bit	7 bit	6 bit	8 bit (coarse), 6 bit (fine)	8 bit
Max. I _G	5 A	3.3 V / 0.5 Ω = 6.6 A	5 A	5 V / 0.12 Ω = 42 A	58 A
Functions integrated into IC	1 driver	1 driver	1 driver	1 driver	2 DACs

Table I. Comparison table of DGDs.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

8-bit DGD using HB DAC IC and two power MOSFETs is proposed to enable V_{SWING} of ± 15 V and large I_G up to 58 A for the 6500 V, 1000 A IGBT modules. In the turn-on measurements of IGBT at 3000 V and 1000 A, compared with SGD, the proposed AGD reduces E_{LOSS} from 6.9 J to 4.8 J by 30 % at the same $I_{OVERSHOOT}$ of 1.3 kA and reduces $I_{OVERSHOOT}$ from 1560 A to 1330 A by 15 % at the same E_{LOSS} of 5 J, which clearly shows the advantage of DGD for the 6500 V, 1000 A IGBT module.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Kenji Hatori, Kazuto Mikami, and Koji Tanaka of Mitsubishi Electric Corporation for the technical discussion and the support for measurements. This work was partially supported by NEDO (JPNP21009).

REFERENCES

- V. Escrouzailles, E. Rabasse and J. Coiret, "Improved performances of 6,5kV IGBT module by using current source gate driver," in *Proc. 11th International Conference on Integrated Power Electronics Systems*, Mar. 2020, pp. 1-6.
- [2] K. Miyazaki, S. Abe, M. Tsukuda, I. Omura, K. Wada, M. Takamiya, and T. Sakurai, "General-purpose clocked gate driver IC with programmable 63-level drivability to optimize overshoot and energy loss in switching by a simulated annealing algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol.53, no.3, pp. 2350-2357, May/June 2017.
- [3] W. J. Zhang, J. Yu, Y. Leng, W. T. Cui, G. Q. Deng, and W. T. Ng, "A segmented gate driver for E-mode GaN HEMTs with simple driving strength pattern control," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Power Semicond. Devices ICs*, Sep. 2020, pp. 102-105.
- [4] R. Katada, K. Hata, Y. Yamauchi, T. -W. Wang, R. Morikawa, C. -H. Wu, T. Sai, P. -H. Chen, and M. Takamiya, "5 V, 300 MSa/s, 6-bit digital gate driver IC for GaN achieving 69 % reduction of switching loss and 60 % reduction of current overshoot," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Power Semicond. Devices ICs*, May 2021, pp. 55-58.
- [5] D. Liu, H. C. P. Dymond, S. J. Hollis, J. Wang, N. McNeill, D. Pamunuwa, and B. H. Stark, "Full custom design of an arbitrary waveform gate driver with 10-GHz waypoint rates for GaN FETs," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 8267-8279, July 2021.
- [6] S. Kawai, T. Ueno, H. Ishihara, S. Takaya, K. Miyazaki and K. Onizuka, "A Ins-resolution load adaptive digital gate driver IC with integrated 500ksps ADC for drive pattern selection and functional safety targeting dependable SiC application," in *Proc. IEEE Energy Conversion Congress* and *Exposition*, Oct 2021, pp. 5417-5421.
- [7] W. J. Zhang, J. Yu, W. T. Cui, Y. Leng, J. Liang, Y.-T. Hsieh, H.-H. Tsai, Y.-Z. Juang, W.-K. Yeh, and W. T. Ng"A smart gate driver IC for GaN power HEMTs with dynamic ringing suppression," *IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics*, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 14119-14132, Dec 2021.
- [8] S. Fukunaga, H. Takayama and T. Hikihara, "A study on switching surge voltage suppression of SiC MOSFET by digital active gate drive," in *Proc. IEEE 12th Energy Conversion Congress & Exposition - Asia (ECCE-Asia)*, May 2021, pp. 1325-1330.
- [9] T. Sai, K. Miyazaki, H. Obara, T. Mannen, K. Wada, I. Omura, T. Sakurai, and M. Takamiya, "Stop-and-go gate drive minimizing test cost to find optimum gate driving vectors in digital gate drivers," in *Proc. IEEE Appl. Power Electron, Conf. Expo.*, Mar. 2020, pp. 3096-3101.